# Nashwaak Watershed Association Inc.

## Marysville Flats

## Forest Restoration Plan

2015

Josh Noseworthy, RPF, AWB

# Table of Contents

## Introduction

The Nashwaak Watershed Association Inc. (NWAI) is a registered non-profit organization that was founded in 1995. The NWAI aims to ensure that the Nashwaak watershed is managed as a healthy ecosystem that balances a variety of economic, recreational, social and landowner interests. In doing so, the NWAI has undertaken a series of restoration projects on abandoned farmland along the river. Land cleared up to the riverbank is highly vulnerable to erosion from ice scouring and flooding, and has resulted in a significant loss of land, as well as sedimentation issues in the Nashwaak River.

The following management plan was developed to provide a framework for restoring the Marysville Flats, which were mostly cleared for agriculture in the past. Before land clearing, the flats would have been composed of a Silver Maple dominated floodplain-forest community. Floodplain forests, such as those along the Nashwaak River are, for a variety of reasons, critically important ecosystems. One of main benefits of healthy floodplain forest is their ability to mitigate flood damage, by absorbing large amounts of water and slowing both the speed and height of floodwaters. A study conducted in the Boston area by the National Wildlife Federation found that Silver Maple floodplain forest was worth $72,000 (US) per hectare, per year, based on flood mitigation alone (Mertl et al. 2015). Kozlowski & Davies (1975) found that, during high summer, a mature Silver Maple can draw up, and subsequently release into the atmosphere, up to 220 litres of water every hour. Additionally, a single Silver Maple can intercept over 11,000 litres of rainfall annually, significantly reducing runoff and soil erosion (Peper et al. 2007).

In addition to flood mitigation and erosion control, these unique forest communities help moderate the temperature of the Nashwaak River by providing shade (Tepley et al. 2004), and decreasing nutrient loads in the river by taking up excess nutrients for growth (Lowrance et al. 1981). Riparian forests, such as those along the Nashwaak, also support a very high diversity of plant and animal species (Naiman et al. 1993), and can harbor up to twice as much biodiversity as nearby upland forests (Gregory et al. 1991). For these reasons, the NWAI has committed to restoring these important forest communities along the Nashwaak River, for the benefit of wildlife and local communities within the watershed.

## Property Description

The Marysville Flats are an 11.2-hectare (27.6 acre) property located along the Nashwaak River in Fredericton, New Brunswick (PID# 75457440). The property is owned by the City of Fredericton and falls within a Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW) designation (Figure 1). The property is bordered on the east by the Gibson Trail, and includes an island that became separated due to erosion. Both pieces were cleared for agriculture in the past, primarily to graze cattle. The City of Fredericton obtained the land in 2009 under the jurisdiction of the Parks & Trees Department. Parks & Trees have been supportive of the NWAI using the land for restoration and community outreach purposes.

Approximately 76% of the property is covered in abandoned fields (Table 1; Figure 2), which are mostly composed of dense grasses and other herbaceous field vegetation. Evidence of the historical forest community exists as a few large Silver Maple trees scattered along the shoreline, which were most likely left as shade trees for cattle. Although the entire flat is flooded each spring, only a low-lying area that supports a number of backwater wetlands remains saturated throughout the year. Shoreline shrubs (Alder, Willow, Dogwood) occur as a thicket along the northern boundary, and in patches surrounding the backwater wetlands. A significant amount of shoreline is devoid of any vegetation other than grass, and in these areas, severe erosion has occurred. In addition to the right-of-way adjacent to the Gibson Trail, an access road (~3m wide) cuts through the property, and is frequently used by locals as a walking trail. It is accessible through the south side of the Marysville Heritage Centre parking lot. Recent construction work installing a sewer line under the Gibson trail has resulted in land cleared of vegetation. The NWAI has already planted approximately 0.34 hectares of old-field with a variety of tree species.

Table 1. Land classes and area summary for the Marysville Flats, 2015.

| Land Class | Hectares | Acres | Percent |
|---|---|---|---|
| Old Field | 8.45 | 20.88 | 76 |
| Cleared Land | 1.14 | 2.81 | 10 |
| Trail / Road Right-of-way | 0.75 | 1.85 | 7 |
| NWAI Planted trees | 0.38 | 0.93 | 3 |
| Backwater Wetland | 0.28 | 0.69 | 3 |
| Shoreline Thicket | 0.16 | 0.39 | 1 |
| **TOTAL:** | **10.45** | **25.81** | **100** |




Figure 1: Marysville Flats, 2015.




Figure 2: Land classification for the Marysville Flats restoration project, 2015.




Figure 3: Management zones for the Marysville Flats restoration project, 2015.

## Management Overview

**Management Goal: Restore Floodplain Forest community**
Objective 1: Prevent Riverbank Erosion and Sedimentation
Objective 2: Restore Floodplain Forest Species Composition / Structure

The management actions described in the following section are designed to achieve objectives 1 and 2 through active restoration from old-field to a Silver Maple floodplain forest community. In the short-term, emphasis should be placed on Objective 1, as ice scouring has severely eroded the riverbank. This will involve implementing an aggressive willow-planting schedule to slow the rate of soil loss. If plantings are scheduled to take place over multiple years, outside curves along the bank should be planted first, as these are most susceptible to erosion (Hoag 2007). It should be noted that willow plantings may need to be replaced annually along sections of riverbank that are lost due to ice scouring. However, it is believed that the collective effort will slow the rate of erosion significantly, providing a larger window of opportunity for planted trees to establish and hold soil. All restoration actions are divided into four management zones, which are detailed below.

### Management Zone A: Willow Planting

Management Zone A is designated for planting willow cuttings to stabilize the riverbank. Willow planting should occur within a 4-metre swath of land running the length of the shoreline along the Marysville Flats (Figure 3), which totals 0.76 hectares (1.88 acres) in size.

#### Site Preparation

To prepare the site for willow plantings, herbaceous **field vegetation should be mowed** in the autumn prior to planting. This will allow for planting early in the spring when the soil is saturated and machinery cannot access the flats. In high, eroded areas, sloughing banks may need to be sloped to a shallower grade for plantings to be successful (Figure 4). This will depend on the extent of ice scour each year a planting takes place.



Figure 4. Creating a shallower slope on a sloughing bank (from Hoag & Fritt 2007).

#### Planting Stock Preparation

Two species of willow are recommended for planting: **Red-tipped Willow (*Salix eriocephala*) and Sandbar Willow (*Salix exigua*),** both of which grow naturally along the Nashwaak River and are commonly used for restoration purposes. Willow cuttings must be collected when they are

dormant (Hoag 2007), so it is best to **collect cuttings in late fall, winter or very early spring**. Cuttings should be a **minimum of 2-cm wide at the base**, but larger cuttings tend to work better than smaller ones (Polster 2013). Cuttings should be as long as possible when harvested, and can be cut-to-length when planted. All twigs and **branches should be trimmed,** and several inches off the top of the stem should be removed (Hoag 2007). This will redirect energy to lateral branching and root development when planted. **Cuttings should be cut at a 45° angle** at the base for ease of planting, and to mark the bottoms to ensure cuttings are not planted upside-down. They can be bundled for ease of transport, and stored in plastic in a dark, moist and cold environment, such as a snowbank (Hoag 2007). Seven to ten days before planting in the spring, **soak cuttings in water**. The cuttings should be entirely covered, and to keep them well oxygenated, water should be replaced every 2 days (Zonge et al. 1997).

Planting

Willows can be planted as soon as the ground has thawed in the spring (Zonge et al. 1997). Since the water will be high, multiple plantings may need to occur throughout the spring to plant up to the low-water mark. It is suggested to plant cuttings in a random fashion **approximately 0.75 – 1 metre apart,** in staggered rows (Hoag & Fripp 2002; OMNR 1995). This should equate to roughly 5 rows and an estimated **9,930 willow cuttings** to complete Management Zone A at this spacing. On eroded slopes, use a denser spacing (0.5 metres apart), and plant stems both vertically and diagonally into the soil (Polster 2013).

Cuttings can be cut to length as needed, but should be **at least 60cm long** (Polster 2013). As the prepared cuttings will be sharpened at the base, they can be pushed into the soil with heavy gloves, or hammered into the ground using a rubber mallet. One of the most important aspects of planting willows is ensuring the **base of the stem reaches the low-water table** (Zonge et al. 2009). On high, eroded banks, this will require large and long stems (> 3m). In these areas, a piece of rebar can be used as a dibble to create a pilot hole (Polster 2013). Regardless of size, all cuttings should be **buried at least ¾ of their length** (Polster 2013). Once inserted into the ground, **tamp the soil around the cutting**. Any air pockets will kill new-forming roots.

Maintenance

After stems have hardened off in autumn, it is recommended to prune roughly half of the planted cuttings. Pruning back to 5-cm above the ground will encourage coppicing and root growth the following spring (OMNR 1997). In this way, half the stems will provide a living buffer against ice scouring, and the other half will hold soil and prevent erosion in the following spring. Any cut stems > 2-cm at the base can be used for planting in the following year.

**Management Zone B: Sensitive Area**

Management Zone B is 1.04 hectares (2.57 acres) in size and is considered ecologically sensitive. This zone buffers the backwater wetlands and a watercourse that cuts through the flat (Figure 3). It also includes two wet areas on the island. Patches of natural vegetation occur throughout zone B, and the soil is saturated for most of the year. For these reasons, care should be taken if machinery is to be used in this zone – both to minimize soil disturbance in wet areas, and to keep from destroying any shrubs and trees that have colonized around the backwater wetlands. For these reasons, the site preparation guidelines are specific to zone B, but all other restoration activities follow the same as zone C.

Site Preparation

Due to the PSW designation, site preparation is restricted to activities that do not result in soil disturbance. Heavy machinery is also not recommended in this zone unless soils are completely dry. To prepare the site, **field vegetation should be removed** prior to planting. In areas where machinery cannot access, vegetation can be cleared using a thinning saw, or string-trimmer with a blade attachment. Grasses should be cut low to the ground, and care should be taken not to cut any shrubs or trees around the perimeter of the wetlands. Where a mounted post-hole auger cannot be used due to wet soils, holes can be dug manually, with attention paid to **removing the sod layer** around the planting hole.

Planting

See Management Zone C.

Maintenance

See Management Zone C.

**Management Zone C: Old Field**

Management Zone C is 7.79 hectares (19.25 acres) in size and is composed of old-field and cleared land that is not considered sensitive. Restoration activities are the same as Management Zone B, except for the recommended use of machinery to prepare the site for planting.

Site Preparation

Due to the PSW designation, site preparation is restricted to activities that do not result in soil disturbance. To prepare the site, **field vegetation should be bush-hogged** prior to planting. This can only be done once soils have been given adequate time to dry in spring. Although care

should be taken to avoid any trees that have naturally colonized the old-field, any grasses and shrubs should be removed to prevent competition with planted trees. The most efficient way of preparing holes for planting is to use a mounted post-hole auger (von Althen 1990), which breaks the sod layer and allows for more efficient planting.

Planting

**Planting should be completed in late spring** once flooding has subsided and the soil has begun to dry. Species mix should ideally follow the composition in Table 2. Planting stock should be a **minimum of 30-cm in height**, but preferably 50-cm or greater (von Althen 1990).

Table 2. Required number of trees for restoration of Management Zones B and C.

| Species | Species Composition | Total trees for Zone B | Total trees for Zone C | Total Trees |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Silver Maple | 85% | 2,210 | 16,554 | 18,764 |
| White Elm | 15% | 390 | 2,921 | 3,311 |
| Total: | 100% | 2,600 | 19,475 | **22,075** |

The planting density for Management Zones B and C should equate to a **2 x 2-m spacing** (2,500 stems / ha). This density will create competition between individual stems, encouraging upward growth rather than sprouting of multiple stems (von Althen 1985). Dense plantings also facilitate rapid canopy closure, which helps to suppress field vegetation. It is suggested not to plant in obvious rows, but instead plant in irregular spacing to mimic a natural forest. Care should be taken to ensure the **root ball is below the sod layer**. Compost can be added to each hole to encourage initial growth, and the **soil should be tamped firmly** around each tree to ensure no air pockets occur in the hole. It is generally suggested to start along the east boundary of the flats and plant towards the river over subsequent years.

Maintenance

Since soil cannot be disturbed, it is extremely important that all field vegetation be **mowed as often as possible** for three or more years after planting (von Althen 1990). This not only controls competition, but also discourages rodents from nesting in the grass, as they will girdle young trees. Once established, Silver Maples are prolific sprouters, so it may be desirable to **prune any sprouting stems** once the trees have hardened off. Although labour intensive, pruning will focus energy into height growth and root growth, and promote healthy trees with good form (von Althen 1990).

**Management Zone D: NWAI Planted**

Management Zone D is 0.38 hectares (0.92 acres) in size and was previously planted by the NWAI with a variety of tree species, some of which are not able to survive annual flooding. To resolve this, dead and undesirable trees can be removed and a fill planting can be completed using floodplain tree species.

Site Preparation

To prepare the site, **field vegetation should be mowed** prior to planting. Stems of **undesirable trees should be cut** flush with the ground. Since the site already contains some desirable trees, **holes can be manually dug** wherever a replacement tree is needed.

Planting

**Planting should be completed in late spring** once flooding has subsided and the soil has begun to dry. Species mix should ideally follow the compositions used in Management Zones B and C for consistency, but since desirable trees are already present, the amount of trees required for planting is not specified. However, once fill planted, the final density should be **2 x 2-m spacing** (2,500 stems / ha), which will require, at most, 900 trees. All other planting guidelines are the same as Management Zone C.

Maintenance

See Management Zone C.

## Future Management

The NWAI may see benefit in doing additional restorative forest management in the future to enhance wildlife habitat, improve the conservation value of the land, and quicken the development towards a mature floodplain forest. Below are two suggested management options: enrichment planting and crop-tree release, both of which can be implemented opportunistically if the NWAI sees a benefit in doing so.

Enrichment Planting

As planted stock grows and begins to compete with one another, individuals will begin to die, leaving small gaps in the canopy. It may be of interest to do enrichment plantings in these canopy gaps using other floodplain species to enhance wildlife habitat, and increase the conservation value of the land by supporting rare and at-risk tree species. Enrichment plantings

would be most effective during early forest development, since most floodplain species cannot survive under the shade of a forest when young. However, if done strategically with crop-tree release (see below), planting in canopy gaps throughout forest development can result in the successful establishment of other tree species.

Four potential species are identified for optional enrichment planting (Table 3). Although White Elm (*Ulmus americana*) is already part of the species mix, it should be expected that many will die due to the Dutch Elm disease. However, resistant strains are becoming available, and in the future, it is expected that a resistant variety from the genetic stock of the St. John River watershed will be available. If / when this occurs, emphasis should be placed on restoring this species.

Table 3. An optional species mix for enrichment plantings on the Marysville Flats, 2015.

| Species | Species Composition |
|---|---|
| White Elm | 30% |
| Butternut | 30% |
| Red Ash | 20% |
| Bur Oak | 20% |
| Total: | 100% |

Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) is native to the floodplains of the Nashwaak River and is an endangered species in Canada (COSEWIC 2003). One of the main threats to Butternut is the Butternut Canker – an exotic fungal disease that has infected all known populations. Although there has been preliminary success in locating and breeding resistant trees (Schlarbaum et al. 1997), it does not appear that resistant genetic stock is commercially available. The NWAI may want to look into surveying the watershed for Butternut and collecting seed from any trees that appear to be resistant.

Both Red Ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*) and Bur Oak (*Quercus macrocarpa*) are floodplain species that have limited distribution, and are considered species of conservation concern in New Brunswick (Powell & Beardmore 2002). Both species reach their far northern limit here (Burns & Honkala 1990), and as such are not abundant. However, if seed can be acquired from the St. John River watershed, both would make excellent additions to the species mix.

Crop Tree Release

Once the average diameter of planted trees reaches 10-cm or greater (Randall & Herring 2012), the NWAI may want to implement a crop-tree release using the crown-touch method (Figure 5). This method removes




Figure 5. Crop-tree release.

adjacent trees that touch the crowns of selected crop-trees (Nyland 1996). It is recommended to aim for 50 – 120 crop trees per hectare (Perkey et al. 1990). The selection can favor rare tree species from enrichment plantings, and Silver Maples that have good form and vigour. It has been shown that Silver Maple can triple their wood volume in the 10 years that follow a release (Larson 1968), which will quicken the development towards a mature forest. Other benefits include reducing crowding, and improving the health and seed production of crop trees.

## References Cited


Burns, R.M. & Honkala, B.H. (1990). Silvics of North America: 2. Hardwoods. Agriculture Handbook 654. USDA, Forest Service, Washington, DC. vol.2, 877 p.

COSEWIC. (2003). COSEWIC assessment and status report on the butternut *Juglans cinerea* in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa. vii + 32 pp. Online: www.sararegistry.gc.ca/status/status_e.cfm

Goforth, R.R., D. Stagliano, Y.M. Lee, J. Cohen, and M. Penskar. (2002). Biodiversity analysis of selected riparian ecosystems within a fragmented landscape. Michigan Natural Features Inventory. Lansing, MI. 126 pp.

Gregory, S.V., F.J. Swanson, W.A. McKee, and K.W. Cummins. (1991). An ecosystem perspective of riparian zones. Bioscience 41: 540-551.

Hoag, J.C. (2007). How to plant willows and cottonwoods for riparian restoration. USDA-Natural Resources Conservation Service. Technical Note. TN Plant Materials No. 23.

Kozlowski, T.T. & Davies, W. J. (1975). Control of Water Loss in Shade Trees. Journal of Arboriculture. 1: 81-89.

Larsson, H.C. (1968). Some methods of selecting and propagating asexually high quality phenotypes of silver maple (*Acer saccharinum* L.). In Proceedings, Fifteenth Northeastern Forest Tree Improvement Conference. p.78-84. Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Broomall, PA.

Lowrance, R.R., R.L. Todd, J. Fail, O. Hendrickson, R. Leonard, and L.E. Asmussen. (1984). Riparian forests as nutrient filters in agricultural watersheds. Bioscience. 34: 374-377.

Mertl, A.L., Morimoto, D., Perrin, J., Liau, A. & Weber, N. (2015). Economic value from Silver Maple Forest outweighs condos, Lesley professors say. Cambridge Day. Online: http://www.cambridgeday.com/2014/07/17/economic-value-from-silver-maple-forest-outweighs-condos-lesley-professors-say/

Naiman, R.J., H. Decamps, and M. Pollock. (1993). The role of riparian corridors in maintaining regional biodiversity. Ecological Applications 3: 209-212.

Nyland, R.D. 1996. Silviculture: Concepts and Applications. McGraw-Hill, New York, New York.

Peper, P.J., McPherson, E.G., Simpson, J.R., Gardner, S.L., Vargas, K.E. & Xiao, Q. (2007). New York City, New York Municipal Forest Resource Analysis. Center for Urban Forest Research. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station.

Perkey, A.W., Wilkins, B.L. & Smith, H.C. (1990). Crop Tree Management in Eastern Hardwoods. USDA Forest Service Publication NA-TP-19-93

Polster, D.F. (2013). Soil bioengineering for site restoration. Boreal Research Institute. Boreal Reclamation Program.

Powell, G. & Beardmore, T. (2002). New Brunswick Tree & Shrub Species of Concern: A Field Guide. Canadian Forest Service – Atlantic Forestry Centre. Fredericton, NB. Information Report M-X-212E.

Schlarbaum, S. E., Hebard, F., Spaine, P. C., & Kamalay, J. C. (1997). Three American tragedies: chestnut blight, butternut canker, and Dutch elm disease. Exotic pests of eastern forests. USDA Forest Service, Southeastern Research Station, Asheville, NC. Tennessee Exotic Pest Council, 8-10.

Randall, J.A. & Herring, J. (unknown). Management of Floodplain Forests. Iowa State University Extension and Outreach. Report F326.

Tepley, A.J., Cohen, J.G. & Huberty, L. (2004). Natural community abstract for floodplain forest. Michigan Natural Features Inventory, Lansing, MI.15 pp.

von Althen, F.W. (1985). Spacing trials in Black Walnut, White Ash and Silver Maple plantations. Canadian Forest Service. Great Lakes Forest Research Centre. Information Report 0-X-365.

von Althen, F.W. (1990). Hardwood planting on abandoned farmland in Southern Ontario: Revised Guide. Forestry Canada, Ontario Region, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario. 77 p.

Zonge, L., Davison, J. & Swanson, S. (1997). Tips for Successfully Planting Willow in Riparian Areas. University of Nevada. Cooperative Extension. Fact Sheet 97-09. Online: https://www.unce.unr.edu/publications/files/ho/other/fs9709.pdf